## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

DAVID NA'IM,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 3:17-CV-1689-L
	§	
JUDGE CINDY ERMATINGER;	§	
JUDGE BOB CARROLL; and LT.	§	
TOMMIE EBERHART,	8	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

## **ORDER**

On August 16, 2017, United States Magistrate Judge Paul D. Stickney entered the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge ("Report"), recommending that the court dismiss with prejudice this action as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915A and 1915(e). No objections to the Report were filed.

After considering the pleadings, file, the record in this case, and Report, the court determines that the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge are correct, and **accepts** them as those of the court. Accordingly, the court **dismisses with prejudice** this case as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915A and 1915(e).

The court prospectively **certifies** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. 24(a)(3). In support of this certification, the court **accepts and incorporates** by reference the Report. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). The court concludes that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir.

1983). In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See Baugh*, 117 F.3d at 202; Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).

It is so ordered this 12th day of October, 2017.

Sam Q. Sindsay

United States District Judge